No. 660

Office Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JAN 13 1962
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1961.

TEXACO PUERTO RICO INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

JUSTINO V. ARMAIZ and his wife JOAQUINA GONZALEZ, and MOBIL OIL CARIBE, INC.,

Respondents.

PETITION FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI.

MILTON HANDLER
425 Park Avenue,
New York 22, New York.

AMZY B. STEED
155 East 42nd Street,
New York 17, New York,
Attorneys for Petitioner.

Of Counsel:

KAYE, SCHOLER, FIERMAN, HAYS & HANDLER
SHELDON OLDENS
FRANK D. GORMAN
MILTON J. SCHURIN
New York, New York.

January 14, 1962.

Parkside Press, Inc., 32 Rector St., New York 6, N. Y. — 5-4

INDEX.

	PAGE
Opinion Below	2
Jurisdiction	2
Questions Presented	3
Statutes Involved	4
Statement	4
The Contract with Armaiz	5
The Breach of the Contract	6
The Threshold Jurisdictional Question	8
The Congressional Intent to Equate Puerto Rico with the Several States in Terms of Federal Review	10
—The 1957 Bill, H. R. 6069	11
—The 1959 Bills	12
—The Bill Which Became Section 1258	13
Decisions of the Court of Appeals for the First Circuit under Section 1293	16
Conclusion	17
Reasons for Granting the Writs	19
I.—The repeated rulings of this court have made clear that the defense of antitrust illegality is barred whenever the contract sued upon is not intrinsically illegal	21
The defense is a "very dishonest one," disfavored by this Court	22
Congress having provided exclusive remedies in an exclusive forum for violation of the Antitrust laws, the defense may not be used as a "Collateral method of enforcement"	23

PAGE

Unless a contract is "intrinsically illegal" the defense will not be permitted 26

The further limitation imposed by *Kelly* v. *Kosuga* 30

II.—The defense is impermissible under the facts of this case 31

The contract is not intrinsically illegal 31

Petitioner does not ask the Court to enforce "the precise conduct made unlawful by the Act" but a provision which the Superior Court itself found valid 32

The decision of the Superior Court of Puerto Rico is patently erroneous 33

CONCLUSION 36

APPENDIX A—Opinion of Superior Court of Puerto Rico, Bayamon Part 1a

APPENDIX B—Judgment of Superior Court of Puerto Rico, Bayamon Part 1b

APPENDIX C—Judgment of Supreme Court of Puerto Rico 1c

APPENDIX D—Defendant's Affirmative Defenses 1d

APPENDIX E—Statutes 1e

CITATIONS.

CASES: PAGE

A. B. Small Co. v. *Lamborn & Co.*, 267 U. S. 248 (1925) 22, 23, 26, 27
Acosta-Marrero v. *Commonwealth of Puerto Rico*, 275 F. 2d 294 (1st Cir. 1960) 16
Beloit-Culligan Soft Water Service, Inc. v. *Culligan, Inc.*, 274 F. 2d 29 (7th Cir. 1959) 20, 32, 33
Bromberg v. *Moul*, 275 F. 2d 574 (2d Cir. 1960) 29
Brown v. *Allen*, 344 U. S. 443 (1953) 9
Bruce's Juices, Inc. v. *American Can Co.*, 330 U. S. 743 (1947) 22, 23, 24, 28, 29, 32, 35
Cincinnati, P. B. S. & P. Packet Co. v. *Bay*, 200 U. S. 179 (1906) 7, 22, 23
Connolly v. *Union Sewer Pipe Co.*, 184 U. S. 540 (1902) 22
Continental Wall Paper Co. v. *Louis Voight & Sons Co.*, 212 U. S. 227 (1909) 7, 22, 30, 34
D. R. Wilder Manufacturing Co. v. *Corn Products Refining Co.*, 236 U. S. 165 (1915) 22, 23, 27, 34
Durousseau v. *United States*, 6 Cranch (10 U. S.) 307 (1810) 18
Figueroa v. *Puerto Rico*, 232 F. 2d 615 (1st Cir. 1956) 10
Grayson v. *Harris*, 267 U. S. 352 (1925) 7, 8
International Basic Economy Corp. v. *Blanco Lugo*, 271 F. 2d 437 (1st Cir. 1959), *cert. denied*, 361 U. S. 948 (1960) 16
International Basic Economy Corp. v. *Blanco Lugo*, 267 F. 2d 263 (1st Cir. 1959) 16, 17
Kelly v. *Kosuga*, 358 U. S. 516 (1959) 6, 7, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35
Kentucky v. *Powers*, 201 U. S. 1 (1906) 10

	PAGE
McMullen v. *Hoffman*, 174 U. S. 639 (1899)	22, 29
Minnesota v. *National Tea Co.*, 309 U. S. 551 (1940)	8
Riera v. *Rivera*, 281 F. 2d 799 (1st Cir. 1960)	17
Standard Oil Co. of California v. *Johnson*, 316 U. S. 481 (1942)	8
Standard Oil Co. of California v. *United States*, 337 U. S. 293 (1949)	32
State Tax Commission of Utah v. *Van Cott*, 306 U. S. 511 (1939)	8
St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern Ry. v. *McWhirter*, 229 U. S. 265 (1913)	8
Tampa Electric Co. v. *Nashville Coal Co.*, 365 U. S. 320 (1961)	26, 32
Thompson v. *City of Louisville*, 362 U. S. 199 (1960)	9
United States v. *Witkovich*, 353 U. S. 194 (1957)	10
STATUTES:	
Clayton Act, Section 3, 15 U. S. C. §14	4, 32
Sherman Act, Section 1, 15 U. S. C. §1	4, 32
Sherman Act, Section 2, 15 U. S. C. §2	4
Sherman Act, Section 3, 15 U. S. C. §3	4, 32
28 U. S. C. §1257	4, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15
28 U. S. C. §1258	3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17
28 U. S. C. §1293	8, 16
48 U. S. C. §§731b-731e	10
Puerto Rico Legislature Joint Resolution No. 2	12
Laws, Puerto Rico Ann., Title 4, §37 (Cum. Supp. 1959)	4, 11

LEGISLATIVE MATERIALS: PAGE

BILLS:

S. 2552, 63d Cong., 1st Sess. (1913) 25

H. R. 3232, 82nd Cong., 1st Sess. (1951) 10

H. R. 6009, 85th Cong., 1st Sess. (1957) 11, 12

S. 2023, 86th Cong., 1st Sess. (1959) 12

S. 2708, 86th Cong., 1st Sess. (1959) 12, 13, 14

H. R. 5926, 86th Cong., 1st Sess. (1959) 12, 13

H. R. 9234, 86th Cong., 1st Sess. (1959) 12, 14

H. R. 7038, 87th Cong., 1st Sess. (1961) 15

Bills and Debates in Congress Relating to Trusts, Sen. Doc. No. 147, 57th Cong., 2d Sess., (1903) .. 25

Bills and Debates in Congress Relating to Trusts, (1902-1913), Prepared for House Judiciary Committee (1914) 25

HEARINGS:

Hearings Before Special Subcommittee, House Judiciary Committee, on H. R. 6069, 85th Cong., 2d Sess., ser. 24 (1958) 11, 12

Hearings Before Special Subcommittee on Territorial and Insular Affairs, House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, 86th Cong., 1st Sess., ser. 14 (1960) 12

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

S. Rep. No. 735, 87th Cong., 1st Sess. (1961) 14, 15

H. R. Rep. No. 683, 87th Cong., 1st Sess. (1961) 14, 15

DEBATES:

PAGE

21 Cong. Rec. 2613-15, 2657-61 (1890) 25

105 Cong. Rec. 5269 (1959) 12

MISCELLANEOUS:

Annual Report of Proceedings of Judicial Conference 40 (1957) 11, 13

Annual Report of Proceedings of Judicial Conference 12 (1959) 13

Hart and Wechsler, *The Federal Courts and the Federal System* 312-13 (1953) 10

Magruder, *The Commonwealth Status of Puerto Rico*, 15 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 1, 18-19 (1953) 10

Ratner, *Congressional Power Over the Appellate Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court*, 109 U. Pa. L. Rev. 157 (1960) 10

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1961

No. .....

TEXACO PUERTO RICO INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

JUSTINO V. ARMAIZ and his wife JOAQUINA GONZALEZ, and MOBIL OIL CARIBE, INC.,

Respondents.

PETITION FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI.

TO THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE AND THE ASSOCIATE JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES:

Petitioner prays that writs of certiorari issue to review the judgment of the Supreme Court of Puerto Rico and the judgment of the Superior Court of Puerto Rico, Bayamon Part.

This petition raises for decision the scope of a major policy of the Court: the policy barring private litigants in contract actions from pleading the "very dishonest" defense of illegality under federal antitrust laws. The Court has thus far permitted only one exception to this rule: where the contract sued upon is "intrinsically illegal" and the judgment sought would itself enforce "the precise conduct made unlawful by the Act."